THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE
A.GOPAL REDDY
and
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE
G.V.SEETHAPATHY

TAKEN UP W.P.Nos.25190 & 25745 of 2007

Dt.19-12-2007

W.P.No.25190 of 2007

Between:
Athunuri Rambabu

..Petitioner

and

1. The SHO, Yellandu circle, Khammam District
and another.

..Respondents.

W.P.No.25745 of 2007

Between:
Dandi Sarojini

and

1. The SHO, Yellandu Police Station,
Khammam District.

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE
A.GOPAL REDDY
and
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE
G.V.SEETHAPATHY

TAKEN UP W.P.Nos.25190 & 25745 of 2007

Common Order:- (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice ***A.Gopal Reddy***)

The letter dated 22-11-2007 sent by the petitioner through fax to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice alleging that his brother, Athunuri Raju r/o Tekupalli Village, Khammam District was illegally detained by the police personnel, Yellandu Police Station and apprehending danger to his life was taken as W.P.No.25190 of 2007.

Similar letter addressed by the petitioner, Dandi Sarojini of even date i.e. 22-11-2007 alleging that her husband, Dandi Veeraswamy was illegally detained by the police and apprehending danger to his life was taken as W.P.No.25745 of 2007.

In response to notice, Sub-Inspector of Police, Yellandu Police Station, Khammam District filed a counter affidavit stating that the alleged detenus are accused Nos.1and 2 in Cr.No.301 of 2007 registered for the offence under Sections 384 r/w 511 IPC and Section 25(1-B) and (a) of Arms Act by Narasampet Police Station and in connection with the above crime Narasampet police effected the arrest of the accused along with others on 29-11-2007; subsequently on 30-11-2007 the detenus were produced before the III Additional Munsif Magistrate, Warangal and the learned Magistrate ordered for remand

to judicial custody and accordingly they were lodged in Central Prison, Warangal and subsequently they were enlarged on bail. Copies of the remand report also disclose about their production and remand to judicial custody.

In view of the same, the allegations made in the letters addressed by the petitioners that the alleged detenus were illegally detained by the police cannot be countenanced.

Both the writ petitions fail and they are accordingly dismissed.

_____

A.GOPAL REDDY, J.

_____

G.V.SEETHAPATHY, J

19-12-2007

Murthy